

**Declaration of Compliance by the Executive and Supervisory Board  
of the JOST Werke AG pursuant to  
para. 161 German Stock Corporation Act (Aktiengesetz) with respect to the  
German Corporate Governance Code as of December 1, 2022**

1. The German Corporate Governance Code was revised on April 28, 2022, and upon its publication in the German Federal Gazette on June 27, 2022, became the basis for the Declaration of Compliance ("GCGC 2022"). JOST Werke AG has complied and will continue to comply with the recommendations of the GCGC 2022 with the following exceptions:
  - Recommendation A.3: A deviation is declared from the recommendation that the internal control system should also cover sustainability-related targets, unless already required by law. To ensure the quality of the non-financial data, the company has the sustainability report audited by an external auditor.
  - Recommendation B.1: As a precautionary measure, a deviation from the recommendation regarding the composition of the board of directors is declared. The Supervisory Board pays attention to diversity when selecting members of the Board of Executive and has passed a quota of 25% female members for the Executive Board. At the same time, the Supervisory Board is of the opinion that the decisive factor for an appointment to the Executive Board should always be the personal and professional qualifications of the candidates.
  - Recommendation G.3: The Supervisory Board shall assess whether the remuneration of Executive Board members is appropriate based on an external comparison with the remuneration of members of executive boards of comparable companies. The Supervisory Board made a deliberate decision not to define a fixed and static peer group, as the Supervisory Board is of the opinion that making such a link with a specifically defined peer group may lead to inappropriate outcomes.
  - Recommendation G.4: When assessing whether the remuneration is appropriate, the Supervisory Board shall take account of the circumstances within the company itself. The Supervisory Board made a deliberate decision not to define a fixed group of senior managers, as the Supervisory Board is of the opinion that such a definition would not be appropriate in view of the heterogeneity of the remuneration structure within the company as a whole and internal remuneration practices within the company.
  - Recommendation G.8: The Supervisory Board may make a positive or negative adjustment to all variable remuneration components if it is of the opinion that the respective variable remuneration components calculated do not appropriately reflect the company's business performance, the achievement of its strategic objectives and/or the Executive Board member's contribution thereto, owing to extraordinary

developments. The Supervisory Board has decided not to exclude the possibility of making retroactive adjustments to target values or comparison parameters, as such an exclusion may lead to inappropriate outcomes. The cap on the total bonus for each Executive Board member in terms of both the amount granted and the amount paid each year ("caps"), as stipulated in the remuneration system, is complied with in all cases.

- Recommendation G.11 sentence 2: According to the remuneration system, the Supervisory Board can stipulate in the Executive Board members' employment contracts that variable remuneration components may be retained or reclaimed in narrowly defined cases (compliance clawback; performance clawback). The existing employment contracts of Executive Board members Joachim Dürr, Dr. Christian Terlinde and Dirk Hanenberg currently do not include such a possibility.

2. JOST Werke AG has complied with all recommendations of the version of the German Corporate Governance Code dated March 20, 2020 ("GCGC 2020") valid until June 27, 2022, with the following exceptions:

- Recommendation B.1: As a precautionary measure, a deviation from the recommendation regarding the composition of the board of directors is declared. The Supervisory Board pays attention to diversity when selecting members of the Board of Executive and has passed a quota of 25% female members for the Executive Board. At the same time, the Supervisory Board is of the opinion that the decisive factor for an appointment to the Executive Board should always be the personal and professional qualifications of the candidates.
- Recommendation D.8: Insofar as individual members of the Supervisory Board or its committees did not participate in meetings of the Supervisory Board or the relevant committees in the past, they did exclusively so for important and comprehensible reasons. In such cases, these members were subsequently informed about the meeting proceedings. Therefore, the Supervisory Board considers it inappropriate to single out such members by name in its report.
- Recommendation G.3: The Supervisory Board shall assess whether the remuneration of Executive Board members is appropriate based on an external comparison with the remuneration of members of executive boards of comparable companies. The Supervisory Board made a deliberate decision not to define a fixed and static peer group, as the Supervisory Board is of the opinion that making such a link with a specifically defined peer group may lead to inappropriate outcomes.
- Recommendation G.4: When assessing whether the remuneration is appropriate, the Supervisory Board shall take account of the circumstances within the company itself. The Supervisory Board made a deliberate decision not to define a fixed group of senior managers, as the Supervisory Board is of the opinion that such a definition would not

be appropriate in view of the heterogeneity of the remuneration structure within the company as a whole and internal remuneration practices within the company.

- Recommendation G.8: The Supervisory Board may make a positive or negative adjustment to all variable remuneration components if it is of the opinion that the respective variable remuneration components calculated do not appropriately reflect the company's business performance, the achievement of its strategic objectives and/or the Executive Board member's contribution thereto, owing to extraordinary developments. The Supervisory Board has decided not to exclude the possibility of making retroactive adjustments to target values or comparison parameters, as such an exclusion may lead to inappropriate outcomes. The cap on the total bonus for each Executive Board member in terms of both the amount granted and the amount paid each year ("caps"), as stipulated in the remuneration system, is complied with in all cases.
- Recommendation G.11 sentence 2: According to the remuneration system, the Supervisory Board can stipulate in the Executive Board members' employment contracts that variable remuneration components may be retained or reclaimed in narrowly defined cases (compliance clawback; performance clawback). The existing employment contracts of Executive Board members Joachim Dürr, Dr. Christian Terlinde and Dr. Ralf Eichler currently do not include such a possibility.

Neu-Isenburg, December 1, 2022

The Executive Board

The Supervisory Board

Joachim Dürr  
(CEO)

Dr. Stefan Sommer  
(Chairman)